

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,586	10/11/2001	Peter C. Meltzer	70207/48,913-CON	9855
21874 75	90 06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
P.O. BOX 55874			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02205				FAFER NOWIDER
			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/975,586	MELTZER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) described for reply is specified above, the maximum statute of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reposition.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>14 March 2005</u> .					
_	☑ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-33 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-33 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objectio		· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/975,586 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. According to paper filed on March 14, 2005, the applicants have filed a RCE and furthermore, have amended claims 22-27 and added new claims 28-33.

2. Claims 22-33 are now pending in the application.

## Specification

3. The amendment filed on Oct.28, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The applicants have amended specification to claim priority to earlier applications. This is a new matter since the inventive entity is different in all those applications. Moreover, there is not even a single example of 3-alpha tropanes in the prior applications such as 09/875,523, now patent 6,670,375. The only exemplified 3-alpha isomers are of oxabicyclo octanes (see examples 15 and 16 in patent 6,670,375). Therefore, the applicants are not entitled to the earlier priority date of Nov. 3, 1995. The earlier priority date of the instant application is May 12, 1999 based on the provisional application.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on March 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding obviousness rejections over Kuhar reference (U.S. Patent 5,496,953) and Davies reference (U.S. Patent 6,008,227). The applicants have

**Art Unit: 1625** 

amended claims to overcome prior art rejections over Kuhar reference ( U.S. Patent 6,358,492 0 as well as obviousness rejection over Clarke reference ( U.S. Patent 3,813,404). The examiner also agrees with the applicants arguments regarding written description requirement. The specification is now objected. In regard to obviousness rejections over Kuhar reference and Davies reference, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that combination of these two references with Kuhar ( Pat. 6,358,492 ) will not be obvious to one skilled in the art to prepare the instant 3-alpha trpoane derivatives. All three references are directed to 3-aryltropane derivatives having binding affinity for dopamine and serotonin uptake sites. As stated earlier in previous office actions, Kuhar ( Pat 492 ) provides the motivation to prepare the instant selective dopamine ligands ( 3-alpha isomers of tropane derivatives ) by modifying non-selective dopamine and serotonin ligands ( 3-beta isomers of tropane derivatives ) of Kuhar ( Pat 953 ) and Davies ( Pat 227 ) since Kuhar ( Pat 492) teaches the selectivity of 3-alpha isomers for dopamine transporter over 3-beta isomers ( see table 3).

#### Conclusion

- 5. Rejections of claims 22-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Kuhar and Davies references are maintained for the reasons of record.
- 6. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is now withdrawn in view of amendments.
- 7. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is now withdrawn in view of persuasive arguments.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is

**Art Unit: 1625** 

(571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625